

Algorithms M2–IF TD 5

November 10, 2021

1 Weighted Independent Set on Paths

(Exercise 6.3 of [DPV]) We are considering opening restaurants along a highway from city A to city B. The possible locations are given to us as an array $D[1 \dots n]$, where $D[i]$ is the distance of location i from A. Each location has an expected profit $P[i]$. We have unlimited budget, however, we do not want to open two restaurants which are at distance at most k kilometers. Given this constraint, describe a polynomial-time (in n) algorithm that selects the locations that maximize the total expected profit.

Solution:

Let $M[i]$ be the maximum profit we can expect from a solution that is only allowed to use locations $1, \dots, i$. Clearly, $M[1] = P[1]$ and the value we are interested in is $M[n]$. We now claim the following relation:

$$M[i] = \max\{M[i-1], P[i] + \max_{j:D[i]-D[j] \geq k} M[j]\}$$

Indeed, if we are given the option to use location i , we have two choices: either we don't use it, so the best we can do is the best we can do using locations $1 \dots i-1$, or we do, which gives us profit $P[i]$ plus the best we can do if we only use locations j such that $D[i] - D[j] \geq k$.

Example: suppose $k = 1$ and all locations are at distance 1 from each other. Let

$$P[] = [3, 2, 5, 4, 3, 7, 5]$$

We have

$$M[] = [3, 3, 8, 8, 11, 15, 16]$$

so the best solution takes locations 1, 3, 5, 7.

2 Max Sum Sub-interval

We are given an array $A[1 \dots n]$ of positive and negative integers. We want to calculate two integers a, b such that $\sum_{i=a}^b A[i]$ is maximized.

- Observe that this problem is trivial to solve in $O(n^3)$.
- Give an algorithm that solves this problem in time $O(n)$.

Solution:

It is clear that $a, b \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ so we can try all possible $O(n^2)$ values for a, b . For each pair a, b , calculating the sum of $A[a \dots b]$ can be done in $O(n)$ time. So the total running time is $O(n^3)$.

Let us try to find a better algorithm. We first construct an array $S[1 \dots n]$ defined as $S[i] = \sum_{k=1}^i A[k]$. Observe that the obvious way to compute S takes time $O(n^2)$ (we spend $O(n)$ per element), however, we observe that $S[i+1] = S[i] + A[i+1]$. Therefore, S can be computed in time $O(n)$. We now see that what we are looking for is the numbers a, b which maximize $S[b] - S[a-1] = \sum_{k=a}^b A[k]$. Clearly, this problem can be solved in $O(n^2)$ (we check all pairs a, b).

Let us try to do even better. Define $M[i] = \max_{j < i} \sum_{k=j}^i A[k]$. In other words, if we fix i to be the last element of the interval we are looking for, $M[i]$ is the value of the optimal solution we seek. Therefore, the value we want is $\max_{i \in \{1, \dots, n\}} M[i]$. We would like to show that $M[i]$ can be computed in $O(1)$ time per element.

Indeed, assume that we have calculated $M[i-1]$. Then, $M[i] = A[i] + \max\{M[i-1], 0\}$. To see this observe that the best interval ending at i will either contain nothing else (so it has value $A[i]$), or it must contain the best interval ending at $i-1$.

3 Longest Common Subsequence

We are given two strings over the alphabet $\{A, B, C, \dots, Z\}$. For example, the strings $s_1 = \text{ILOVEALGORITHMS}$ and $s_2 = \text{VACANCESDENOEL}$. A subsequence of a string s is a string we can obtain by deleting some of the letters of s . For example, LOVE is a subsequence of s_1 , LOLO is also a subsequence of s_1 (it doesn't matter that its letters are not consecutive in s_1), but AGORA is not a subsequence of s_1 .

1. Given two strings s_1, s_2 , give a polynomial-time algorithm which decides if s_2 is a subsequence of s_1 . Prove the correctness of your algorithm.
2. Given two strings s_1, s_2 , give a polynomial-time algorithm which calculates the length of the longest string s' which is a subsequence of both s_1, s_2 .

Solution:

For the first problem, we treat the two strings as arrays $s_1[1 \dots n]$ and $s_2[1 \dots m]$. If $m > n$ then we reply NO and this is clearly correct as s_2 cannot be a subsequence of a shorter string. If $m == 0$ (that is, s_2 is empty) we answer YES, as the empty string is trivially a subsequence of any string.

If $m \leq n$, then we compare $s_1[1] == s_2[1]$. If $s_1[1] == s_2[1]$ then we call the same algorithm for strings $s_1[2 \dots n]$ and $s_2[2 \dots m]$ and return its response. If

$s_1[1] = s_2[1]$ then we call the same algorithm on $s_1[2 \dots n]$ and s_2 and return its response.

This algorithm clearly runs in polynomial time, since each recursive call reduces n by 1 (complexity: $T(n) \leq T(n-1) + O(1) = O(n)$).

Let us prove correctness. For the base cases ($m = 0$ or $m > n$) the algorithm is trivially correct, so let us look at the two recursive cases. Suppose $s_1[1] = s_2[1]$. If the recursive call returns YES, that is, $s_2[2 \dots n]$ is a subsequence of $s_1[2 \dots n]$, we see that s_2 is a subsequence of s_1 . If on the other hand the recursive call returns NO, it cannot be the case that s_2 is a subsequence of s_1 , so our response is correct. Suppose then that $s_1[1] \neq s_2[1]$. Then, the first letter of s_1 cannot be used in finding the subsequence s_2 , so our algorithm correctly discards it.

Let us now discuss the second problem. We define $L[i, j]$ as the length of the longest common subsequence of the strings $s_1[1 \dots i]$ and $s_2[1 \dots j]$. What we are interested in calculating is $L[n, m]$. To simplify presentation we consider the variable ranges $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ and $j \in \{0, \dots, m\}$. By definition $L[0, j] = L[i, 0] = 0$ for all i, j , as the longest common subsequence of any string with the empty string has length 0.

The table $L[i, j]$ has size $O(nm)$. We now need to show how to compute $L[i, j]$ using values $L[i', j']$ where $i' < i, j' < j$. Suppose that $s_1[i] \neq s_2[j]$. Then to obtain a common subsequence we must delete either $s_1[i]$ or $s_2[j]$. Therefore, in this case $L[i, j] = \max\{L[i-1, j], L[i, j-1]\}$. If on the other hand, $s_1[i] = s_2[j]$, then the common subsequence must contain this last letter, so $L[i, j] = 1 + L[i-1, j-1]$. We have:

$$L[i, j] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ or } j = 0 \\ 1 + L[i-1, j-1] & \text{if } s_1[i] = s_2[j] \\ \max\{L[i-1, j], L[i, j-1]\} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Calculating each $L[i, j]$ value takes $O(1)$ time, so the algorithm takes $O(nm)$ time.

4 The Gas Station Problem

We want to drive from city A to city B along a highway of length k kilometers. Our car has a tank with a capacity of L liters and we start out with a full tank from city A. To simplify things, suppose that our car uses 1 liter per kilometer of driving.

We are given two arrays $D[1 \dots n]$ and $P[1 \dots n]$. The first array contains the positions of gas stations along the way (that is, the distance of each gas station from A). The second array has the price of gas for each gas station. So, if $D[i] = d_i$ and $P[i] = p_i$, this means that the i -th gas station is at d_i kilometers from A and sells gas for p_i euros per liter.

Give a polynomial-time algorithm which selects a set of gas stations to stop at and the amount of gas to buy at each one in order to minimize the total cost

of driving from A to B . You may assume that it's OK to arrive at B with an empty tank. Your algorithm should run in time polynomial in n, L .

Solution:

To simplify presentation, assume that D is sorted (it gives gas stations in order of increasing distance from A), that gas station 0 is in A and that the n -th gas station in D is at distance k from A (so the last gas station is in B).

We want to calculate the value $C[i, j]$ which represents the minimum cost of driving from gas station i to B if we start off with j liters of gas. We have:

- The value we want to calculate is $C[0, L]$.
- For $C[i, j]$ the variables take values $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ and $j \in \{0, \dots, L\}$.

We therefore have a table of size $O(nL)$. We now need to describe how to compute $C[i, j]$ assuming we have already computed $C[i', j]$ for $i' > i$.

Suppose that we find ourselves at gas station i (so, $D[i]$ kilometers from A), with j liters of gas. If $j \geq k - D[i]$ then we can just drive to B , so $C[i, j] = 0$. Otherwise, we can buy b liters of gas from this station (at a cost of $b \cdot P[i]$) and then drive to another gas station i' . When we arrive there we will have $j' = j + b - (D[i'] - D[i])$ liters of gas left. The optimal solution from that point will therefore cost $C[i', j']$. We now select the combination of b, i' that minimizes this total cost. In particular:

$$C[i, j] = \min_{b \in \{0, \dots, L\}} \min_{i' \in \{i+1, \dots, n\}} (b \cdot P[i] + C[i', j + b - D[i'] + D[i]])$$

Calculating each entry of the table above therefore takes $O(nL)$, so the total complexity is $O(n^2L^2)$.

5 Inventory Problem

You own a company that sells cars. For this exercise we will try to minimize the inventory cost of your company, that is, the cost of storing the cars in a garage.

You have a garage with space for S cars. Storing a car for a week in this garage costs C euros **per car**. When your garage is running low you can order more cars from the factory. This has a delivery cost of K , independent of how many cars you ordered.

You are given an array which estimates the expected demand for cars in the next few weeks: the array $D[1 \dots n]$ has value $D[i]$ for the number of cars you would like to have available in your garage to sell during week i .

We want to calculate an ordering and storage schedule which satisfies the following:

- We never store more than S cars in the garage.

- At the beginning of each week i , the number of cars in the garage is at least $D[i]$. In other words, if at the beginning of week i the garage contains fewer than $D[i]$ cars, we **must** order more cars (and pay K for the delivery) so that this week's demand is satisfied.
- The total storage cost is minimized.

You may assume that the garage contains $S_0 < S$ cars in the beginning. Your algorithm should run in time polynomial in n and S .

Solution:

We want to calculate the following value: $A[i, j]$ is defined as the minimum cost of any feasible storage plan which satisfies all demands from week i up to week n , if we start with a garage that contains j cars. Note that $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $j \in \{0, \dots, S\}$ so the size of the table $A[i, j]$ is $O(nS)$.

We observe that:

- The value we are interested in is $A[1, S_0]$. We want to satisfy demands from week 1 to n and start with S_0 cars.
- The values $A[n, j]$ are easy to compute. Indeed, if $j \geq D[n]$ then $A[n, j] = 0$, otherwise $A[n, j] = K$ (because we have to order cars to satisfy the demand for week n).

We now have a base case. Building on this we want to calculate $A[i, j]$ using values $A[i + 1, j']$. Consider now an entry $A[i, j]$ for which $j < D[i]$. In this situation we have to order more cars, but we have to decide how many. Suppose that we decide to order ℓ cars, such that $\ell + j \geq D[i]$. Then, we will pay K for delivery, and $(\ell + j - D[i]) \cdot C$ for storage for one week. For the rest of the schedule, we can look up the value $A[i + 1, j + \ell - D[i]]$. On the other hand, if $j \geq D[i]$, we do not have to order more cars but we can still do it. If we order ℓ cars our cost is as previously, while if we don't, we pay $(j - D[i]) \cdot C$ for storage, plus the cost for the remaining weeks which is given by $A[i + 1, j - D[i]]$. We have

$$A[i, j] = \min_{\ell: j + \ell \geq D[i] \wedge \ell \geq 0} (A[i + 1, j + \ell - D[i]] + C \cdot (j + \ell - D[i]) + K \cdot [\ell > 0])$$

where $[\ell > 0]$ is the value that is 1 if $\ell > 0$ and 0 otherwise. Each entry of this table can be computed in $O(S)$ time, so the algorithm takes $O(nS^2)$ in total.